



Volume 12 Number 42 November 2023

Traditional and Digital Diagnostic Tools of the Trade

This alert covers commonly used tools used to examine and identify insect pests and disease organisms of horticultural crops.

E-Gro alerts highlight many plant and pest problems encountered in the greenhouse. Alerts are one of many resources and tools you can use to help accurately diagnose plant and pest problems - with the goal of reducing losses and maximizing production. *How adept are you and your staff at accurately identifying plant problems and pest issues?* Can you determine what causes the leaf damage in Figure 1?



Figure 1. *Nepeta* x'Walker's Low' with white stippling on leaves. Images taken by Beth Scheckelhoff with a Nikon Coolpix P950 digital camera.



Reprint with permission from the author(s) of this e-GRO Alert.

Many plant and pest problems can be accurately diagnosed with the naked eye. Others are more elusive and must be confirmed with additional diagnostic tools and/or assistance. What tools are in your diagnostic toolbox? Following are a few basic tools to consider including in your toolbox.

Please note: the use of brand names or specific products is solely for educational purposes and does not imply endorsement by e-Gro or our partners, nor discrimination against similar brands, products or services not mentioned.

www.e-gro.org



Magnifiers. One of the most essential diagnostic tools is the loupe or magnifier. This simple tool can be carried in your pocket or around your neck. Loupes are designed to be held or worn close to your eye and are used for reading small print, examining jewelry, and viewing plants and insects.

Magnification commonly varies from 3 to 15x but can be as high as 30x. Modern loupes may include one or more LED lights to assist in viewing objects. These are helpful in identifying small pests such as spider mites and thrips. Smaller pests like eriophyid mites and broad mites must be identified with a magnification of 20x or greater. Loupes vary in price from approximately \$10 to \$60.

Traditional Microscopes. For general diagnostics such as insect or disease identification, a dissecting (also called stereo) microscope is often used. Dissecting scopes are versatile and offer three-dimensional images at low magnification (usually 5 to 45x).



Figure 2. A TOMLOV D602 digital microscope (top) was used to capture this high-resolution image of a sage leafhopper (*Eupteryx melissae*) nymph causing stippling on catmint (*Nepeta* x'Walker's Low') leaves (bottom). Images taken by Beth Scheckelhoff.

A compound microscope with two or more lenses is used for greater magnification (40x to 1000x+). Compound microscopes are commonly used in biological research for viewing bacteria and cells on slides or plates. Most newer microscopes are also equipped with digital screens and/or digital cameras. These traditional microscopes cost from as little as \$75 for student models to well over \$1000.

Digital Microscopes. The digital microscope is one of the best tools I have come across to aid in pest identification (Figure 2). Digital scopes with varying magnification, portability, and image properties are readily available online - and are often marketed for jewelry, coin, or electronics inspection. Magnification can vary from 2x to 2000x with prices from \$75 to \$350 for higher end models. Overall, these provide a cost-effective tool for those working in the green industry.



Figure 3. The digital microscope captures clear images of a newly hatched sage leafhopper nymph on underside of a catmint leaf. Feeding damage on this newly unfolded leaf can be seen along the leaf veins. Image taken by Beth Scheckelhoff.

Digital microscopes are generally small and compact, making them easily portable. They may come with multiple lenses to vary magnification levels and often have an attached digital screen or ability to connect to a television, projector, or computer. Some models also capture images and video (Figure 3).

Digital microscopes are a valuable tool for both diagnostics, demonstrations, and teaching. They can be used with staff or peers to view samples and specimens either in-person or remotely. These tools allow images, video, or real-time viewing to be shared with others including extension, university, or industry professionals for timely diagnostics and recommendations.

Handheld Electronic Devices. Nearly everyone has access to a digital camera or tablet, or mobile device equipped with a camera and video capabilities. Image quality and the ability to take close-up images with these devices has improved tremendously over the years. In fact, many people forgo owning a digital camera and simply use their phone for capturing images. These devices are important for documenting and sharing images of issues found in the greenhouse or field. I often use my phone to zoom in on images and observe greater detail than what is visible to the naked eye.

Diagnostic Labs. I would be remiss if I did not mention that not all plant pests or plant problems can be diagnosed on-site. In many cases, samples must be collected and sent to a diagnostic lab for analysis. All US states have at least one plant and pest diagnostic lab associated with a land-grant university. To find a diagnostic lab near you, please visit the National Plant Diagnostic Network at <u>http://www.npdn.org</u>. There are private labs that also provide fee-based sample evaluation and diagnostics. It is a good idea to identify a diagnostic lab you can contact or send samples to when needed.

e-GRO Alert - 2023

e-GRO Alert

www.e-gro.org **CONTRIBUTORS**

Dr. Nora Catlin **FloricultureSpecialist** Cornell Cooperative Extension Suffolk County nora.catlin@cornell.edu

Dr. Chris Currey Assistant Professor of Floriculture Iowa State University ccurrey@iastate.edu

Dr. Ryan Dickson Greenhouse Horticulture and Controlled-Environment Agriculture University of Arkansas ryand@uark.edu

Thomas Ford Commercial Horticulture Educator Penn State Extension tgf2@psu.edu

Dan Gilrein Entomology Specialist Cornell Cooperative Extension Suffolk County dog1@cornell.edu

Dr. Chieri Kubota Controlled Environments Agriculture The Ohio State University kubota.10@osu.edu

Heidi Lindberg Floriculture Extension Educator Michigan State University wolleage@anr.msu.edu

Dr. Roberto Lopez Floriculture Extension & Research Michigan State University rglopez@msu.edu

Dr. Neil Mattson Greenhouse Research & Extension Cornell University neil.mattson@cornell.edu

Dr. W. Garrett Owen Sustainable Greenhouse & Nursery Systems Extension & Research The Ohio State University owen.367@osu.edu

Dr. Rosa E. Raudales Greenhouse Extension Specialist University of Connecticut rosa.raudales@uconn.edu

Dr. Alicia Rihn Agricultural & Resource Economics University of Tennessee-Knoxville arihn@utk.edu

> Dr. Debalina Saha Horticulture Weed Science Michigan State University sahadeb2@msu.edu

Dr. Beth Scheckelhoff Extension Educator - GreenhouseSystems The Ohio State University scheckelhoff.11@osu.edu

> Dr. Ariana Torres-Bravo Horticulture/ Ag. Economics Purdue University torres2@purdue.edu

Dr. Brian Whipker Floriculture Extension & Research NC State University bwhipker@ncsu.edu

Dr. Jean Williams-Woodward Ornamental Extension Plant Pathologist University of Georgia jwoodwar@uga.edu

Copyright ©2023

Where trade names, proprietary products, or specific equipment are listed, no discrimination is intended and no endorsement, guarantee or warranty is implied by the authors, universities or associations

Cooperating Universities

Cornell**CALS** College of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Cornell Cooperative Extension Suffolk County



















In cooperation with our local and state greenhouse organizations

