



Neil Mattson

nsm47@cornell.edu

Volume 3 Number 5 March 2018

Fertilizer Calculation Basics for Hydroponics

I'm often asked by growers and students alike to walk them through the calculations for setting up a hydroponic fertilizer recipe. While the math itself is pretty straightforward, there are several key points to take into account, including: percent elemental composition of a fertilizer, injector ratios, size of stock tank, and compatibility of fertilizer salts in stock tanks. This alert will cover the basics of fertilizer calculations when using dry fertilizers

Step one how much fertilizer to achieve a ppm target?

We're going to begin using metric units (milligrams, grams, liters) but I'll show how to convert to U.S. standard units. Let's say we want to use commercial calcium nitrate to supply 100 ppm nitrogen (N). There are different forms of calcium nitrate but let's assume the form we are using contains 19% calcium (Ca) and 15.5% nitrogen (N) (these values come from the product label). Let's calculate how many milligrams (mg) of calcium nitrate we need to achieve 100 ppm N in 1 liter (L) of water.



2018 Sponsors



American
Floral
Endowment

Funding Generations of Progress
Through Research and Scholarships



P.L. LIGHT SYSTEMS
THE LIGHTING KNOWLEDGE COMPANY



FARM CREDIT EAST



The basic principle we follow is that 1 ppm equals 1 mg/L. (This is an inherent property of physics. 1 L of water at room temperature weights 1 kg or 1,000,000 mg, therefore 1 mg of a given element in 1,000,000 mg of water becomes 1 ppm). Then we have to take into account that a given fertilizer contains only some fraction of element. In our case calcium nitrate contains 15.5% nitrogen. To calculate how many mg needed per 1 L of water divide the target value by the percent of the element.

Example: use commercial calcium nitrate to supply 100 ppm N.

$$100 \text{ mg/L (ppm) N / \%N} \rightarrow 100 \text{ mg/L N / 0.155}$$

[this is the percent N in calcium nitrate]

$$= 645 \text{ mg of calcium nitrate in 1 L of water}$$

Great! Now, remember the fertilizer salts we use contain two elements of interest. In our case, the fertilizer also contains calcium, so let's calculate the ppm (or mg/L) of calcium supplied by using 645 mg of calcium nitrate in 1 L of water. This is calculated by multiplying the total mg/L of fertilizer salt used by the percent calcium (19% Ca):

$$645 \text{ mg/L calcium nitrate} \times 0.19 \text{ (% Ca)} = 122.6 \text{ mg/L (ppm) Ca.}$$

Therefore using 645 mg of calcium nitrate in 1 L of water, provides 100 ppm N and 122.6 ppm Ca.

Let's try one more practice example. How many mg of magnesium sulfate (9.7% Mg, 13% S) do you need to provide 40 ppm Mg? And how many ppm S does this also supply?

$$40 \text{ mg/L Mg / 0.097} = 412 \text{ mg of magnesium sulfate in 1 L of water}$$

How much S does this supply? 412 mg/L magnesium sulfate $\times 0.13 = 53.56 \text{ mg/L S (ppm S)}$

Conversions

- 1 gallon = 3.785 Liters
- 1 ounce = 28.35 grams
- 1 gram = 0.03527 ounces
- 1 pound = 454 grams
- 1 pound = 0.45 kilograms
- 1 kilogram = 1,000 grams
- 1 gram = 1,000 milligrams
- 1 ppm = 1 mg/L

Conversion factors

Now let's say we want to know how many ounces of magnesium sulfate we need to prepare 100 gallons of water with the above target of 40 ppm magnesium. We start by doing the above math, which tells us we need 412 mg of magnesium sulfate per liter of water. Then we just take into conversion factors.

For convenience let's start by converting 412 mg to grams. Remember 1 gram has 1,000 mg. So, $412 \text{ mg} = 0.412 \text{ g}$. Next, remember 1 gallon of water contains 3.785 liters. Therefore $100 \text{ gallons} \times 3.785 = 378.5 \text{ L}$. So the amount of magnesium sulfate we need for 378.5 L of water is:

$$0.412 \text{ g magnesium sulfate per liter} \times 378.5 \text{ L} = 155.9 \text{ grams}$$

Finally to convert to ounces, note that 1 ounce = 28.35 grams. So we divide the number of grams by 28.35 to calculate the number of ounces of fertilizer required.

$$155.9 \text{ grams magnesium sulfate} / 28.35 \text{ g/oz.} = 5.5 \text{ oz. of magnesium sulfate per 100 gallons of water}$$

Note! Beware P and K

By convention in the U.S. the fertilizer label lists the percentage P_2O_5 instead of the percent P (phosphorus). And similarly the labels lists the percentage K_2O instead of the percent K. Sadly this means we have to take into account conversion factors to calculate the percent elemental P and K.

To convert P_2O_5 to P multiply by 0.4364

To convert P to P_2O_5 multiply by 2.2915

To convert K_2O to K multiply by 0.8301

To convert K to K_2O multiply by 1.2047

For example, let's assume we are using a common hydroponic fertilizer 5-12-26 which is used to provide most of the macronutrients and micronutrients except for Ca and enough N (therefore, it is typically used in conjunction with calcium nitrate).

Let's calculate the percentage P and K in 5-12-26. Remember the 3 numbers mean %N - % P_2O_5 and % K_2O

Since the percent P_2O_5 is 12%, then we multiply 12% by 0.4364 → 5.24% P

And, since the percent K_2O is 26%, then we multiply 26% by 0.8301 → 21.58% K

Injectors and Stock Tanks

Most commercial hydroponic facilities prepare fertilizers in concentrated stock tanks and then use fertilizer injectors to dilute fertilizer down to the level needed by the plants. This allows the liquid fertilizer stocks to be stored in relatively low volume tanks. A common injector ratio is 1:100, meaning the fertilizer in the concentrated stock tank is at a 100 times higher concentration than what the plant receives. The fertilizer injector then takes 1 part fertilizer stock and adds 99 parts tap water to prepare the dilute fertilizer that plants receive. Injector ratios in the range of 1:50 to 1:200 are commonly used. A ratio of 1:200 means that a stock solution needs to have twice as much fertilizer as when using a 1:100 ratio, because in this case the fertilizer injector takes 1 part fertilizer and adds 199 parts tap water.



Two fertilizer stock tanks each with their own fertilizer injector are used because some fertilizer are incompatible with each other in concentrated amounts.

needs to have twice as much fertilizer as when using a 1:100 ratio, because in this case the fertilizer injector takes 1 part fertilizer and adds 199 parts tap water.

This means when we are conducting fertilizer calculations we will need to multiply the mg of fertilizer salt per 1 L of water times the volume of the stock tank and times the injector ratio.

Injectors and Stock Tanks

Most commercial hydroponic facilities prepare fertilizers in concentrated stock tanks and then use fertilizer injectors to dilute fertilizer down to the level needed by the plants. This allows the liquid fertilizer stocks to be stored in relatively low volume tanks. A common injector ratio is 1:100, meaning the fertilizer in the concentrated stock tank is at a 100 times higher concentration than what the plant receives. The fertilizer injector then takes 1 part fertilizer stock and adds 99 parts tap water to prepare the dilute fertilizer that plants receive. Injector ratios in the range of 1:50 to 1:200 are commonly used. A ratio of 1:200 means that a stock solution needs to have twice as much fertilizer as when using a 1:100 ratio, because in this case the fertilizer injector takes 1 part fertilizer and adds 199 parts tap water.

| Element | Tomato Stage 1 (ppm) | Tomato Stage 2 (ppm) | Tomato Stage 3 (ppm) |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| NO ₃ -N | 90 | 120 | 190 |
| NH ₄ -N | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| P | 47 | 47 | 47 |
| K | 144 | 350 | 350 |
| Ca | 144 | 160 | 200 |
| Mg | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| S | 116 | 116 | 116 |
| Cl | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| Fe (EDTA) | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mn | 0.55 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Zn | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| Cu | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| B | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| Mo | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |

Table 1. Nutrient targets for tomato at stage 1 (seedling to 2nd truss anthesis), stage 2 (2nd truss to 5th truss anthesis), and stage 3 (after 5th truss anthesis). Recipe comes from the University of Arizona Controlled Environment Agriculture Center (CEAC)

This means when we are conducting fertilizer calculations we will need to multiply the mg of fertilizer salt per 1 L of water value times the volume of the stock tank and times the injector ratio.

Beware Fertilizer Incompatibility!

When prepared in stock tanks in a concentrated form, many fertilizer components are not compatible – meaning that when combined they form a precipitate (or a water insoluble sludge) that will not dissolve in water or be taken up by the fertilizer injector. The most common incompatibilities involve calcium nitrate, which cannot be combined with fertilizers containing sulfates or phosphates. **Note!** Always check with the fertilizer manufacturer/supplier to check for incompatibility issues before preparing concentrated stock solution.

Because of the incompatibility issues, commercial growers typically use 2-3 fertilizer injectors (each with their own stock tank) connected in series. By convention Tank A contains calcium nitrate (and any fertilizers compatible with calcium nitrate) and Tank B contains the fertilizers not compatible with calcium nitrate. A third stock tank (Tank C) is sometimes used and typically contains an acid which is used to decrease pH. (Most often we have to worry about decreasing pH in the hydroponic root-zone due to the alkalinity in tap water and the majority of N used in the nitrate form which drives pH up over time).

Steps to Calculate a Complete Nutrient Solution

Now let's put the pieces together to discuss how to calculate the components required to put together a complete nutrient solution. This is somewhat complex because each of the fertilizer salts that we used contains two different elements of interest. That means if we want to add say calcium, that depending on the fertilizer source we use we will also be adding chloride, nitrate, sulfate, etc. that we also need to account for. Therefore, a fairly specific order is followed to make sure we do not add an excess of

| Tank A | Tank B |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calcium nitrate • ½ of potassium nitrate • Iron chelate • (Nitric acid) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ½ of potassium nitrate • Potassium sulfate • Monopotassium phosphate • Magnesium sulfate • Monoammonium phosphate • Ammonium nitrate • All micronutrients except iron chelate • (sulfuric acid) • (phosphoric acid) |
| Tank C | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acid, used to drive down pH (sulfuric, nitric, phosphoric, citric, etc.) | |

Table 2. Commonly used procedure for separating fertilizer salts in 2-3 stock tanks to avoid incompatibility.

one nutrient when trying to reach the target value for another nutrient. The order given below has been adapted from Nutrient Solutions for Greenhouse Crops, 2016 which is an excellent 94-page manual available for download by pdf. The manual contains nutrient solution recipes for many hydroponic crops.

<https://www.eurofins.com/agro/news/new-manual-nutrient-solutions-for-greenhouse-crops-available/> Here's the process to work through. And then I'll provide a comprehensive example!

Identify the target elemental values

Subtract nutrients in raw water from the target (typically only Ca and Mg are in high enough concentration in the water to be of interest)

For each nutrient source, follow this order

1. Choose calcium chloride or potassium chloride to add Cl (if required by the target elemental values, commonly used for tomatoes and vine crops).
2. Use calcium nitrate for Ca.
3. Use ammonium nitrate or MAP (monoammonium phosphate) or DAP (diammonium phosphate) to complete the NH₄ demand.
4. Choose monopotassium phosphate to complete the P demand.
5. Use magnesium sulfate to complete the Mg or S demand.
6. Add magnesium nitrate if more Mg is needed, or replace magnesium sulfate with magnesium nitrate if less sulfate is required.
7. Choose potassium sulfate as a sulfate source in case the sulfate demand is not completed with magnesium sulfate.
8. Use potassium nitrate to complete the NO₃ and K demand.
9. Select the appropriate fertilizer ingredient to supply each micronutrient.

Within each fertilizer salt:

1. Calculate the fertilizer amount required
2. Calculate fertilizer value of any other element added
3. Adjust for injector ratio (stock solution concentration) and stock tank volume

Example, we are preparing stage 1 tomato nutrient solution from UA CEAC, our water has 40 ppm Ca and 20 ppm Mg. Our stock tank is 100 L and injector ratio is 1:200. The example assumes we are using commonly available forms of fertilizer salts, but always check your own labels for their specific percent nutrient value.

| Element | Stage 1 Target (ppm) | Target after accounting for raw water (ppm) |
|--------------------|----------------------|---|
| NO ₃ -N | 90 | 90 |
| NH ₄ -N | 0 | 0 |
| P | 47 | 47 |
| K | 144 | 144 |
| Ca | 144 | 104 |
| Mg | 60 | 40 |
| S | 116 | 116 |
| Cl | 89 | 89 |
| Fe (EDTA) | 2 | 2 |
| Mn | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| Zn | 0.33 | 0.33 |
| Cu | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| B | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| Mo | 0.05 | 0.05 |

Let's Begin!

First add Cl, let's choose potassium chloride (47.6% Cl and 52.2% K)

- Target 89 ppm Cl. Use 89 mg/L / 0.476 = 187 mg/L KCl
- This also provides 187 mg x 0.522 = 98 mg/L K
- Account for 100 L stock tank, and 1:200 injector ratio, and convert mg to g
 - 187 mg/L x 100 L x 200 (injector ratio) / 1000 mg/g = 3,740 g KCl

Second calculate calcium nitrate (19% Ca and 15.5% N)

- Target 104 ppm Ca. Use: 104 mg/L / 0.19 = 547 mg/L calcium nitrate
- This also provides 547 mg x 0.155 = 85 mg/L N (to make it easy let's ignore the small amount of NH₄ from this fertilizer and just worry about the total N it provides).

- Account for 100 L stock tank, and 1:200 injector ratio, and convert mg to g
 - $547 \text{ mg/L} \times 100 \text{ L} \times 200 \text{ (injector ratio)} / 1000 \text{ mg/g} = 10,940 \text{ g (10.94 kg)} \text{ calcium nitrate}$

Third no need to use MAP or DAP to complete the NH_4 demand as no NH_4 required.

Fourth calculate monopotassium phosphate to provide P (it is 22.7% P, 28.7% K)

- Target 47 ppm P. Use: $47 \text{ mg/L} / 0.227 = 207 \text{ mg/L monopotassium phosphate}$
- This also provides $207 \text{ mg} \times 0.297 = 61.5 \text{ mg/L K}$
- Account for 100 L stock tank, and 1:200 injector ratio, and convert mg to g
 - $207 \text{ mg/L} \times 100 \text{ L} \times 200 \text{ (injector ratio)} / 1000 \text{ mg/g} = 4,140 \text{ g (4.14 kg)} \text{ monopotassium phosphate}$

Fifth calculate magnesium sulfate to complete the Mg or S demand (it is 9.7% Mg, 13% S)

- We need less Mg than SO_4 , so target 40 ppm Mg: $40 \text{ mg/L} / 0.097 = 412 \text{ mg/L magnesium sulfate}$
- This also provides $412 \text{ mg} \times 0.13 = 54 \text{ mg/L S}$
- Account for 100 L stock tank, and 1:200 injector ratio, and convert mg to g
 - $412 \text{ mg/L} \times 100 \text{ L} \times 200 \text{ (injector ratio)} / 1000 \text{ mg/g} = 8,240 \text{ g (8.24 kg)} \text{ magnesium sulfate}$

Sixth we can ignore this as we have reached our target Mg (the step was to add magnesium nitrate if more Mg is needed, or replace magnesium sulfate with magnesium nitrate if less sulfate is required)

Seventh calculate potassium sulfate to complete the S demand (it is 44.8% K, 18.3 % S)

- S target is 116 ppm (minus the 54 ppm added above), target is 62 ppm S: $62 \text{ mg/L} / 0.183 = 339 \text{ mg/L potassium sulfate}$
- This also provides $339 \text{ mg} \times 0.448 = 152 \text{ mg/L K}$

- Account for 100 L stock tank, and 1:200 injector ratio, and convert mg to g
 - $339 \text{ mg/L} \times 100 \text{ L} \times 200 \text{ (injector ratio)} / 1000 \text{ mg/g} = 6,780 \text{ g (6.78 kg)} \text{ potassium sulfate}$

Eighth, let's add up how much K we have so far: 61.5 ppm from step 4 and 152 ppm from step 7 = 213.5 ppm K - this is more than our 144 ppm target. So no added K is needed.

Then, let's add up how much N we have so far: 85 ppm from step 2, our target is 90 ppm, so we need 5 ppm N (potassium nitrate is 38.6% K, 13.7% N)

- N target is 5 ppm: $5 \text{ mg/L} / 0.137 = 36.5 \text{ mg/L potassium nitrate}$
- This also provides $36.5 \text{ mg} \times 0.386 = 14 \text{ mg/L K}$
- Account for 100 L stock tank, and 1:200 injector ration, and convert mg to g
 - $36.5 \text{ mg/L} \times 100 \text{ L} \times 200 \text{ (injector ration)} / 1000 \text{ mg/g} = 730 \text{ g (0.73 kg)} \text{ potassium nitrate}$

Now continue on with micronutrients (in the case of micronutrients no need to account for secondary nutrients added because they would be in very small quantities).

Iron (Fe) from FeEDTA (13% iron)

- Target 2 ppm, $2 \text{ mg/L} / 0.13 = 15.38 \text{ mg/L}$
- Account for 100 L stock tank, and 1:200 injector ratio, and convert mg to g
 - $15.38 \text{ mg/L} \times 100 \text{ L} \times 200 \text{ (injector ratio)} / 1000 \text{ mg/g} = 307.6 \text{ g FeEDTA}$

Manganese (Mn) from manganese sulfate (32.5% Mn)

- Target 0.55 ppm, $0.55 \text{ mg/L} / 0.325 = 1.69 \text{ mg/L}$
- Account for 100 L stock tank, and 1:200 injector ratio, and convert mg to g
 - $1.69 \text{ mg/L} \times 100 \text{ L} \times 200 \text{ (injector ratio)} / 1000 \text{ mg/g} = 33.8 \text{ g manganese sulfate}$

Zinc (Zn) from ZnEDTA (14.8% Zn)

- Target 0.33 ppm, $0.33 \text{ mg/L} / 0.148 = 2.23 \text{ mg/L}$
- Account for 100 L stock tank, and 1:200 injector ratio, and convert mg to g
 - $2.23 \text{ mg/L} \times 100 \text{ L} \times 200 \text{ (injector ratio)} / 1000 \text{ mg/g} = 44.6 \text{ g ZnEDTA}$

Boron (B) from Boric Acid (17.5% B)

- Target 0.34 ppm, $0.34 \text{ mg/L} / 0.175 = 1.94 \text{ mg/L}$
- Account for 100 L stock tank, and 1:200 injector ratio, and convert mg to g
 - $1.94 \text{ mg/L} \times 100 \text{ L} \times 200 \text{ (injector ratio)} / 1000 \text{ mg/g} = 38.8 \text{ g Boric acid}$

Molydenum (Mo) from sodium molybdate (39.6% Mo)

- Target 0.05 ppm, $0.05 \text{ mg/L} / 0.396 = 0.126 \text{ mg/L}$
- Account for 100 L stock tank, and 1:200 injector ratio, and convert mg to g
 - $0.126 \text{ mg/L} \times 100 \text{ L} \times 200 \text{ (injector ratio)} / 1000 \text{ mg/g} = 2.52 \text{ g sodium molybdate}$

Pew! If you made it to the end, congratulations are in order! I hope this article has provided a helpful framework for fertilizer calculations. When in doubt regarding fertilizer calculations/preparation be sure to check with your local cooperative extension educator or friendly fertilizer supplier.



Funding Generations of Progress
Through Research and Scholarships



P.L. LIGHT SYSTEMS
THE LIGHTING KNOWLEDGE COMPANY



Project Sponsors

e-GRO Alert

www.e-gro.org

CONTRIBUTORS

Dr. Nora Catlin
Floriculture Specialist
Cornell Cooperative Extension
Suffolk County
nora.catlin@cornell.edu

Dr. Chris Currey
Assistant Professor of Floriculture
Iowa State University
currey@iastate.edu

Dr. Ryan Dickson
Extension Specialist for Greenhouse
Management & Technologies
University of New Hampshire
ryan.dickson@unh.edu

Thomas Ford
Commercial Horticulture Educator
Penn State Extension
tgf2@psu.edu

Dan Gilrein
Entomology Specialist
Cornell Cooperative Extension
Suffolk County
dog1@cornell.edu

Dr. Joyce Latimer
Floriculture Extension & Research
Virginia Tech
jlatimer@vt.edu

Heidi Lindberg
Floriculture Extension Educator
Michigan State University
wolleage@anr.msu.edu

Dr. Roberto Lopez
Floriculture Extension & Research
Michigan State University
rlopez@msu.edu

Dr. Neil Mattson
Greenhouse Research & Extension
Cornell University
neil.mattson@cornell.edu

Dr. W. Garrett Owen
Floriculture Outreach Specialist
Michigan State University
wgowen@msu.edu

Dr. Rosa E. Raudales
Greenhouse Extension Specialist
University of Connecticut
rosa.raudales@uconn.edu

Dr. Beth Scheckelhoff
Extension Educator - Greenhouse Systems
The Ohio State University
scheckelhoff.11@osu.edu

Lee Stivers
Extension Educator - Horticulture
Penn State Extension
Washington County
ljs32@psu.edu

Dr. Paul Thomas
Floriculture Extension & Research
University of Georgia
pthomas@uga.edu

Dr. Ariana Torres-Bravo
Horticulture/ Ag. Economics
Purdue University
torres2@purdue.edu

Dr. Brian Whipker
Floriculture Extension & Research
NC State University
bwhipker@ncsu.edu

Copyright © 2018

Where trade names, proprietary products, or specific equipment are listed, no discrimination is intended and no endorsement, guarantee or warranty is implied by the authors, universities or associations.

Cooperating Universities



Cornell University

**Cornell Cooperative Extension
Suffolk County**



**University of
New Hampshire**

Cooperative Extension

PENN STATE



Cooperative Extension
College of Agricultural Sciences

**VT VIRGINIA
TECH™**

**MICHIGAN STATE
UNIVERSITY**

UCONN

**PURDUE
UNIVERSITY**



The University of Georgia



**THE OHIO STATE
UNIVERSITY**

**NC STATE
UNIVERSITY**

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

In cooperation with our local and state greenhouse organizations

MAUMEE VALLEY GROWERS
Choose the Very Best.



Metro Detroit Flower Growers Association

NC FLOWER POWER



Western Michigan Greenhouse Association

**CONNECTICUT
GREENHOUSE
GROWERS
ASSOCIATION**

**Indiana
FLOWER
GROWERS
Association**

lifga

**NEW HAMPSHIRE
Plant
Growers**
QUALITY GARDEN CENTERS
& GROWERS



**Michigan
Greenhouse
Growers
Council**